

NACTAROME LTD

Clevedon - United Kingdom | Manufacture of other food products

Company size: S | Assessment scope: **Entity**

Overall score

↗ **66**/100

Percentile

72nd



Scorecard

Publication date: 13 Feb 2026 Valid until: 13 Feb 2027

Overall score

Percentile

72nd

↗ **66**/100



Environment

Impact on score ●●●○



Labor & Human Rights

Impact on score ●●●●




Ethics

Impact on score ●○○○



Sustainable Procurement

Impact on score ●○○○



Environment

Impact on score ●●●○

↗ **68**/100

Environment | Policies

Impact on score ●●●●

↗ **25**/100

A policy is a set of objectives that addresses specific sustainability issues. It shows a company's intention to reduce impact, mitigate risk or improve performance.

Strengths

Quantitative objectives set on energy consumption & GHGs

Improvement areas

- Medium priority Basic environmental policies: lacks details on specific issues
- Medium priority Inconclusive documentation for policies on energy consumption & GHGs
- Medium priority Inconclusive documentation for policies on water
- Medium priority Inconclusive documentation for policies on materials, chemicals & waste
- Medium priority Inconclusive documentation for policies on product end-of-life
- Medium priority Inconclusive documentation for policies on customer health & safety
- Low priority No supporting evidence of dedicated responsibility for environmental policy
- Low priority No supporting evidence of a scope of application for environmental policy
- Low priority No supporting evidence of a mechanism to review and amend environmental policy
- Low priority Inconclusive documentation for policies on air pollution

Environment | Endorsements Impact on score ●○○○

● ↗ 50/100

Endorsements are your company's public adherence or commitment to meeting objectives and principles defined by a recognized third-party organization.

Strengths

Endorsement of the Science Based Targets initiative - Targets Set

Environment | Measures Impact on score ●●●●

● → 75/100

Measures are your company's actions to support your sustainability policies and commitments.

Strengths

- Company implementation of HACCP (Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Points) methodology
- Procedures in place to ensure traceability of raw materials
- Purchase of verified carbon offset credits
- Company-specific emergency preparedness and response procedure regarding customer health and safety
- Technologies or practices to recycle or reuse water
- Actions to prevent emissions of atmospheric pollutants and other environmental nuisances (e.g. noise, odor, vibration, road and light)
- Work processes or technologies implemented to mitigate emissions of dust and/or particulate matter
- Other actions to manage waste
- Water audit
- Optimization of primary packaging for dimension and weight reduction or material mix simplification
- Packaging free of toxic chemicals
- Work processes or technologies implemented to mitigate odor
- Energy and/or carbon audit
- Purchase and/or generation of renewable energy
- Water risk assessments performed

Improvement areas

Low priority No supporting evidence of training of employees on energy conservation and climate action

Low priority No supporting evidence of fuel switch to achieve higher energy efficiency or lower carbon emission intensity

Low priority No supporting evidence of actions regarding hazardous materials

Low priority No supporting evidence of reduction of carbon emissions in transportation

Low priority No supporting evidence of reduction of material consumption through process optimization

Low priority No supporting evidence of use of eco-friendly or bio-based process materials

Low priority No supporting evidence of internal sorting and disposal of waste according to waste streams

Low priority No supporting evidence of recovery and reuse of materials from company operations

Low priority No supporting evidence of reduction of internal wastes through material reuse, recovery or repurpose

Environment | Certifications Impact on score ●●●○

● ↗ 50/100

Certifications confirm your compliance with international standards (for example, ISO 14001, ISO 45001, ISO 37001, SA8000 or FSC Chain of Custody). They must be issued by an external certification body.

Strengths

Specific environmental certification

Improvement areas

Medium priority No information on ISO 14001/EMAS certification

Environment | Reporting Impact on score ●●●○

● ↗ 25/100

Reporting is based on quantitative KPIs that measure your implementation of sustainability practices.

Strengths

Reporting on total gross Scope 3 GHG emissions

Reporting on total gross Scope 1 GHG emissions

Reporting on total weight of hazardous waste

Reporting on total weight of non-hazardous waste

Reporting on total gross Scope 3 downstream GHG emissions

Reporting on total energy consumption

Materiality analysis in sustainability reporting

Improvement areas

High priority	Insufficient reporting on environmental issues
Low priority	Total gross Scope 3 downstream GHG emissions value not confirmed in supporting documentation
Low priority	Total gross Scope 3 GHG emissions reporting value not confirmed in supporting documentation
Low priority	No supporting evidence of reporting on the total gross Scope 2 GHG emissions (market or location based)
Low priority	Total gross Scope 1 reporting value not confirmed in supporting documentation
Low priority	No supporting evidence of external assurance or verification of the sustainability reporting
Low priority	No supporting evidence of reporting aligned with a sustainability reporting standard (such as GRI, ESRS, IFRS)
Low priority	No supporting evidence of reporting on the total water consumption
Low priority	No supporting evidence of reporting on the total weight of waste recovered
Low priority	No supporting evidence of reporting on the total weight of air pollutants
Low priority	No supporting evidence of reporting on the total amount of water recycled and reused
Low priority	No supporting evidence of reporting on the total amount of renewable energy consumed

Environment | 360° Watch

Impact on score ●●●●

● → 75/100

The 360° Watch Findings indicator is scored based on the data we gather by scanning thousands of sources in the public domain. This allows us to get a broader overview of your company's sustainability management.

Strengths and improvement areas

No recommendations yet

News that impacted your score (1)

News stories about your company we found in public databases.

No records found for this company on Compliance Database

05 Jan 2026

360° Watch

Impact on Score

Neutral

Severity

N/A

valid from Jan 2026 to Jan 2031

Labor & Human Rights

Impact on score ●●●●

● ↗ 69/100

Labor & Human Rights | Policies

Impact on score ●●●●

● → 50/100

A policy is a set of objectives that addresses specific sustainability issues. It shows a company's intention to reduce impact, mitigate risk or improve performance.

Strengths

- Dedicated responsibility for labor and human rights policy
- Labor and human rights policy scope of application specified
- Formal mechanisms to review and amend labor and human rights policy
- Labor & human rights policy on working conditions
- Labor & human rights policy on employee health & safety
- Labor & human rights policy on child labor, forced labor & human trafficking
- Standard policy on a majority of labor or human rights issues
- Labor & human rights policy on career management & training
- Labor & human rights policy on preventing discrimination and harassment

Improvement areas

Low priority No quantitative target on labor and human rights issues

Labor & Human Rights | Endorsements Impact on score ●○○○

● → 0/100

Endorsements are your company's public adherence or commitment to meeting objectives and principles defined by a recognized third-party organization.

Improvement areas

Low priority No conclusive information on endorsement of external initiatives or principles on labor and human rights issues

Labor & Human Rights | Measures Impact on score ●●●●

● ↗ 100/100

Measures are your company's actions to support your sustainability policies and commitments.

Strengths

Grievance mechanism on discrimination and/or harassment issues
Flexible organization of work (eg. remote work, flexi-time)
Compensation for extra or atypical working hours
Employee satisfaction survey
Health care coverage of employees in place
Family Friendly programs (FFPs) implemented (e.g. parental or care leaves, childcare services or allowances)
Actions to prevent workplace harassment
Actions to promote the inclusion of employees with disabilities
Other actions to ensure good working conditions
Employee health and safety emergency action plan
Provision of protective equipment to impacted employees
Actions to ensure adequate wages
Awareness training on discrimination and harassment
Provision of skills development training
Employee health & safety risk assessment
Training of employees on health and safety risks and best working practices
Equipment safety inspections or audits
Grievance mechanism on child labor, forced labor and/or human trafficking issues
Regular assessment of individual performance

Improvement areas

Low priority	No supporting evidence of actions to manage working hours and overtime
Low priority	No supporting evidence of actions to prevent discrimination during recruitment phase
Low priority	No supporting evidence of age verification of candidates before hiring
Low priority	No supporting evidence of actions to promote internal mobility
Low priority	No supporting evidence of formal discussions with employees on their career development
Low priority	No supporting evidence of training of employees on child labor, forced labor, and human trafficking

Labor & Human Rights | Certifications Impact on score ●●●○

● → 0/100

Certifications confirm your compliance with international standards (for example, ISO 14001, ISO 45001, ISO 37001, SA8000 or FSC Chain of Custody). They must be issued by an external certification body.

Improvement areas

Low priority	No information on ISO 45001 certification
--------------	---

Labor & Human Rights | Reporting Impact on score ●●●○

● → 25/100

Reporting is based on quantitative KPIs that measure your implementation of sustainability practices.

Strengths

- Materiality analysis in sustainability reporting
- Reporting on number of recordable work-related accidents
- Reporting on the percentage of women employed in relation to the whole organization

Improvement areas

- High priority Insufficient reporting on labor and human rights issues
- Low priority No supporting evidence of reporting on the number of days lost to work-related injuries, fatalities, and ill health
- Low priority No supporting evidence of external assurance or verification of the sustainability reporting
- Low priority No supporting evidence of reporting aligned with a sustainability reporting standard (such as GRI, ESRS, IFRS)
- Low priority No supporting evidence of reporting on the average hours of training per employee

Labor & Human Rights | 360° Watch Impact on score ●●●●

● ↗ 75/100

The 360° Watch Findings indicator is scored based on the data we gather by scanning thousands of sources in the public domain. This allows us to get a broader overview of your company's sustainability management.

Strengths and improvement areas

No recommendations yet

News that impacted your score (1)

News stories about your company we found in public databases.

<p>No records found for this company on Compliance Database</p> <p>05 Jan 2026</p>	<p>360° Watch</p> <p>Impact on Score</p> <p>Neutral</p> <hr/> <p>Severity</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>valid from Jan 2026 to Jan 2031</p>
---	--

Ethics Impact on score ●○○○

● ↗ 61/100

Ethics | Policies Impact on score ●●●●

● → 25/100

A policy is a set of objectives that addresses specific sustainability issues. It shows a company's intention to reduce impact, mitigate risk or improve performance.

Strengths

Formal mechanisms to review and amend ethics policy

Ethics policy scope of application specified

Detailed examples available regarding ethics issues

Policies on corruption

Disciplinary sanctions to deal with policy violations

Policy on information security

Improvement areas

Medium priority Basic ethics policies: lacks details on specific issues

Low priority No supporting evidence of employee signature acknowledgement of ethics policy

Low priority No supporting evidence of dedicated responsibility for ethics policy

Low priority Inconclusive documentation for policies on conflict of interest

Low priority Inconclusive documentation for policies on fraud

Ethics | Endorsements Impact on score ●○○○

● → 0/100

Endorsements are your company's public adherence or commitment to meeting objectives and principles defined by a recognized third-party organization.

Improvement areas

Low priority No conclusive information on endorsement of external initiatives or principles on ethics issues

Ethics | Measures Impact on score ●●●●

● → 50/100

Measures are your company's actions to support your sustainability policies and commitments.

Strengths

Whistleblower procedure for stakeholders to report information security concerns

Measures to protect third party data from unauthorized access or disclosure

Whistleblower procedure for stakeholders to report corruption and bribery

Awareness training to prevent information security breaches

Improvement areas

High priority No supporting evidence of corruption risk assessments

High priority No supporting evidence of information security risk assessments

Low priority No supporting evidence of an approval procedure for sensitive transactions (such as gifts, entertainment)

Low priority No supporting evidence of awareness training to prevent corruption and bribery

Ethics | Certifications

Impact on score ●●●○

● → 0/100

Certifications confirm your compliance with international standards (for example, ISO 14001, ISO 45001, ISO 37001, SA8000 or FSC Chain of Custody). They must be issued by an external certification body.

Strengths and improvement areas

No recommendations yet

Ethics | Reporting

Impact on score ●●●○

● ↗ 50/100

Reporting is based on quantitative KPIs that measure your implementation of sustainability practices.

Strengths

Standard reporting on ethics issues

Materiality analysis in sustainability reporting

Improvement areas

Low priority No supporting evidence of external assurance or verification of the sustainability reporting

Low priority No supporting evidence of reporting aligned with a sustainability reporting standard (such as GRI, ESRS, IFRS)

Ethics | 360° Watch

Impact on score ●●●●

● → 75/100

The 360° Watch Findings indicator is scored based on the data we gather by scanning thousands of sources in the public domain. This allows us to get a broader overview of your company's sustainability management.

Strengths and improvement areas

No recommendations yet

News that impacted your score (1)

News stories about your company we found in public databases.

No records found for this company on Compliance Database

05 Jan 2026

360° Watch

Impact on Score

Neutral

Severity

N/A

valid from Jan 2026 to Jan 2031

Sustainable Procurement

Impact on score ●○○○

● ↗ 51/100

Sustainable Procurement | Policies

Impact on score ●●●●

● ↘ 0/100

A policy is a set of objectives that addresses specific sustainability issues. It shows a company's intention to reduce impact, mitigate risk or improve performance.

Improvement areas

- High priority Inconclusive documentation on sustainable procurement policies
- Low priority No supporting evidence of a mechanism to review and amend sustainable procurement policy
- Low priority No supporting evidence of a scope of application for sustainable procurement policy
- Low priority No supporting evidence of dedicated responsibility for sustainable procurement policy

Sustainable Procurement | Endorsements Impact on score ●○○○

● ↗ 50/100

Endorsements are your company's public adherence or commitment to meeting objectives and principles defined by a recognized third-party organization.

Strengths

- Endorsement of the Science Based Targets initiative - Targets Set

Sustainable Procurement | Measures Impact on score ●●●●

● → 25/100

Measures are your company's actions to support your sustainability policies and commitments.

Strengths

- Risk assessment of adverse sustainability impacts in the supply chain

Improvement areas

- High priority No supporting evidence of the integration of social and environmental clauses into supplier contracts
- Medium priority No supporting evidence of on-site audits of suppliers on environmental and social issues
- Medium priority No supporting evidence of the training of buyers on social and environmental issues within the supply chain
- Medium priority No supporting evidence of supplier assessment on environmental and social practices
- Medium priority No supporting evidence of a supplier sustainability code of conduct in place

Sustainable Procurement | Certifications Impact on score ●●●○

● → 0/100

Certifications confirm your compliance with international standards (for example, ISO 14001, ISO 45001, ISO 37001, SA8000 or FSC Chain of Custody). They must be issued by an external certification body.

Strengths and improvement areas

No recommendations yet

Sustainable Procurement | Reporting Impact on score ●●●○

● ↗ 25/100

Reporting is based on quantitative KPIs that measure your implementation of sustainability practices.

Strengths

Reporting on total gross Scope 3 upstream GHG emissions

Materiality analysis in sustainability reporting

Improvement areas

Low priority Total gross Scope 3 upstream GHG emissions value not confirmed in supporting documentation

Low priority No supporting evidence of external assurance or verification of the sustainability reporting

Low priority No supporting evidence of reporting aligned with a sustainability reporting standard (such as GRI, ESRS, IFRS)

Sustainable Procurement | 360° Watch Impact on score ●●●●

● → **75**/₁₀₀

The 360° Watch Findings indicator is scored based on the data we gather by scanning thousands of sources in the public domain. This allows us to get a broader overview of your company's sustainability management.

Strengths and improvement areas

No recommendations yet

News that impacted your score (1)

News stories about your company we found in public databases.

No records found for this company on Compliance Database

05 Jan 2026

360° Watch

Impact on Score

Neutral

Severity

N/A

valid from Jan 2026 to Jan 2031

The below disclaimers apply to you if you have no contractual relationship with EcoVadis:

1. This Scorecard has a validity of twelve (12) months from the issue date. The rating and this Scorecard have been prepared based on information provided by the rated company and in accordance with best industry practices and EcoVadis methodology, which may differ from those used by other companies conducting similar assessments. EcoVadis disclaims any liability for any actions and/or decisions taken by a third party, including any investment decision, based on the ratings and/or this Scorecard.
2. This Scorecard is based on the disclosed information and news resources available to EcoVadis at the time of assessment. Should any information or circumstances change materially during the period of the Scorecard validity, EcoVadis reserves the right to place the Scorecard on hold and, if considered appropriate, to re-assess and possibly issue a revised Scorecard.
3. This Scorecard is the intellectual property of EcoVadis and must not be: (i) copied, modified, translated, published, reproduced and/or (ii) used as part of or in connection with any other CSR/ESG assessment, unless agreed otherwise in a written agreement signed with EcoVadis.
4. This Scorecard is the confidential information of EcoVadis. Unless agreed otherwise in a written agreement signed with EcoVadis, this Scorecard and the rating must not be used by a third party as part of or in connection with any activity related to revenue generation and shared any further; it may be used only for a third party's internal purposes.
5. Only a Scorecard of a rated company having a Select subscription can be used for the purpose of ESG - linked loans and similar products offered by any financial institution outside of the EcoVadis solution.
6. The rating and this Scorecard have been prepared based on information provided by the rated company and in accordance with best industry practices and EcoVadis methodology, which may differ from those used by other companies conducting similar assessments. EcoVadis disclaims any liability for any actions and/or decisions taken by a third party, including any investment decision, based on the ratings and/or this Scorecard.